

# Multimodal Mastery Learning

Matthew Montebello  
Department of Artificial Intelligence  
University of Malta  
Malta  
matthew.montebello@um.edu.mt

Bill Cope, Mary Kalantzis, Samaa Haniya,  
Tabassum Amina, Anastasia Olga Tzirides, Duane  
Searsmith, Naichen Zhao, Min Chen  
University of Illinois, USA  
billcope@illinois.edu

**Abstract**—Educators frequently encourage and value the use of a variety of media in student work, as learners design their knowledge representations using rich, multimodal sources and embedding multiple types of complementary media—photographs, diagrams, tables, data visualizations, videos, and raw datasets. The pedagogical and cognitive benefits of shifting meaning representation across a range of integrated modalities enriches their understanding. In this paper we present our experiences and findings from a case-study in which graduate learners are engaged in the creation of knowledge artefacts as part of their evidentiary work in an online environment. The e-learning portal we created supports and enables the incorporation of all types of new media through its “Creator” interface as they move incrementally towards subject-matter mastery. We present the rationale behind our design, together with methodologies employed to encourage the creative use of diverse yet complementary media. Finally, we present results from qualitative data collected and analysed regarding the use of rich, multimodal sources, as we come to a close with a number of conclusions and recommendations.

**Keywords**—multimedia; e-learning; mastery learning; e-learning affordances.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The use of multimedia and multimodal resources is commonly associated with teaching aids and presentation support materials to accompany, complement and enrich the delivery of some topical content. The presenter or educator is attempting to inform, introduce or demonstrate a concept or a process to learners by suitably pitching the content, as well as adapting the delivery to a target audience. The adoption of different resources, could also be intended to have a rhetorical, graphic or inspiring effect. It could be the case that educators are attempting to achieve all these goals through their e-learning courses, optimising the impact of their delivery, as well as ensuring that the learners are extracting maximum benefit. Consider flipping the scenario and applying the same conceptual reasoning to learners producing and delivering their evidentiary work in the form of knowledge artefacts created through rich digital media and multimodal resources. The reason they would do so is not only to perform well, but also to contribute to the library of educational resources they share with their peers in their academic programme. Additionally, such a task enables them to effectively practice

and refine their creative skills as well as master the subject matter through the different representations that multimodal composition empowers them to achieve. Students are able to shift from one mode to another complementary one, as they represent what their knowledge, thereby intensifying and amplifying their learning.

This paper will specifically address multimodal meaning as an e-learning affordance, in an effort to add value to the entire e-learning experience. Numerous online courses delivered over our e-learning portal, called Common Ground Scholar (CGScholar) [1], developed with specific semantically-designed capabilities that are grounded within a reflexive pedagogical rationale. Our philosophy is based on Bloom’s theoretical recommendations [2] on how to aim towards mastery learning, together with an educational model of new learning affordances [3], made feasible through new media. One such affordance relates to multimodal meaning within the context of optimising the learners’ cognitive awareness. Carlin-Menter [4] argues that such practices that focus on varying semantic architectures assist students to better understand the metacognitive tasks required to express themselves and represent their thinking.

In this paper, we discuss how we enable multimodality within the online portal as learners are encouraged to employ a variety of modalities to represent their submitted work. In the next section we elaborate on the concept of multimodal meaning, giving a broader background to our rationale, followed by a detailed description of the new media and e-learning affordances model upon which the online portal is based. Section IV presents the practical aspect of how multimodality is made possible [4] within our online environment, as we employ qualitative data analysis of survey results collected at the end of three graduate online courses. Finally, we close the paper with conclusions about the design of pedagogy and research recommendations.

## II. BACKGROUND

Mastery learning involves giving students the opportunity to demonstrate mastery of content at different time intervals before moving on to the next topic [5, 6, 7, 8]. According to Bloom [2], the “basic task in education is to find strategies which will take individual differences into consideration but which will do so in such a way as to promote the fullest development of the individual” (p. 3). He also stated that when

given sufficient time and corrective feedback in a timely manner, students achieve a specified level of content knowledge for each topic prior to the next progression. Studies indicate that in comparison to traditional approach (e.g. teacher-centred method), the mastery learning approach yields better student retention, more effective transfer of knowledge, greater interest and positive attitudes from students because they are given wider opportunities to demonstrate mastery of content [9, 10].

In the online learning environment, formative assessment enables students to test their understanding at intervals and instant feedback can improve their mastery of a topic [11]. Online platforms also give instructors opportunity to focus more on content delivery and save time from repetitive marking and record keeping [12]. A wide range of studies have found online settings to be beneficial to mastery learning [13, 14, 15, 16].

In the meantime, in the online setting, we observe a great utilization of multimedia to deliver various types of content. The term “multimedia” refers to the use of texts, graphics, animations, video and audio in an integrated way that assists the delivery of structured and diversely presented meaning [17, 18, 19]. Multimedia has a significant role in every domain of our lives and therefore, its widespread use nowadays also affects the educational domain and the way we teach and learn. Learners are able to represent their meanings in multiple ways, using text, images, videos and sound. Moving from one mode to the other can enhance and deepen learning [20]. Moreover, multimedia promotes and reinforces interactivity between learners and with the content, as well as adding flexibility to the way messages are delivered [17]. One of the most significant attributes of using multimedia in education is the fact that they address the diverse needs and interests of students by increasing their motivation towards learning [17]. However, the use of multimedia in education should not be considered as panacea to cure every defective part of the domain. On the contrary, multimedia, if not used towards a direction of authentic and transformative learning, could reproduce and intensify some old-fashioned practices of the didactic pedagogy [20]. As a consequence, the use of multimedia in education should not be considered as a collage of media. It should be a way of expressing and communicating content to others, constructed in a meaningful and purposeful way in regard with the text.

### III. NEW MEDIA

The education system is being revolutionized by educational technologies realized by e-learning ecologies. E-learning ecologies, according to Cope and Kalantzis [21], serves as a metaphor to understand the learning environment as an ecosystem, incorporating complex interactions among human, textual, discursive and spatial dynamics. Not only do e-learning ecologies impact learners’ configurations of space, but also their relationships, the textural forms of knowledge to which they are exposed, the types of knowledge artefacts that they create and the way the outcomes of their learning are measured [20, 22].

Cope and Kalantzis [2] operationalize the idea of e-learning ecologies by heuristically segmented them into seven

“new learning” affordances, namely, ubiquitous learning, active knowledge making, multimodal meaning, recursive feedback, collaborative intelligence, metacognition and differentiated learning. In the CGScholar environment, the seven affordances represent an “agenda for new learning and assessment” that redefines the relationships between knowledge and learning, recalibrating traditional modes of pedagogies in an effort to create learning ecologies which better suit the educational needs and goals of our time.



Figure 1 - The seven e-learning affordances [20]

Figure 1 depicts the seven affordances that also constitute the basis for the reflexive pedagogical rationale and mechanisms of learning analytics, emphasized in the CGScholar environment. This paper elaborates on the third e-learning affordance, multimodal meaning.

We have witnessed an acceleration in the processes of digitization since the turn of the new century. Different forms of representations, i.e. written texts, images, audios, video and dataset, are no longer separated from each other. Instead, they are converging, overlaid and mixed on screen [20]. Multimodal meaning refers to the use of new media resources, “Today’s learners need to be able to use digital media to juxtapose and link text, diagram, table, dataset, video documentation, audio recording and other media. Across all subject areas, meaning making and knowledge representations are supported and enhanced today by digital production skills and technologies” (p. 123). Working in the 21st-century web communications, students can represent their meanings independently and simultaneously in different modes, and each mode complements the others -- each can show the same topic in a different way; and each “is also an irreducibly different mode of representation” [20, p. 342].

Learners in CGScholar environment employ Updates and Creator to complete weekly and term assignments. Within every community, Updates partly function as a blog, and can include embedded video, audio, data and external links, e.g. for admins to share course contents, or notify a deadline or an

agenda, and for learners to present on chosen and assigned topics, providing comment areas below to facilitate peer-to-peer and student-with-admin discussion. Creator, for term papers, is a semantic editor and multimodal working space, which goes beyond the word processor. Digital objects, including image, audio, video, text, math, live links, dataset and embedded external media, from YouTube videos to GitHub code, can be all inserted inline within the body of the text.

#### IV. CASE STUDY

The CGScholar platform described in the previous section was used within a Global Health course taught at the University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign. Its location in a cloud computing context and the ability afforded by multimodality allow students to explore other socio-cultural context of health without the need to travel. The instructor made use of several videos from Sierra Leone to introduce students to different health systems in the context of disease burden and infrastructure to support health needs. This enabled the students to experience and visually relive the message the instructor wished to convey utilising the most appropriate medium to do so. The students could in return generate updates and projects using the appropriate medium each thought best. Some employed an image, others an audio file, while others added a link to a resource or submitted plain text. Having the options to utilize various types of media elements in CGScholar allows students to better express their opinions, enhancing their thinking and understanding. Their peers, as well as tutors, are at liberty to comment, give feedback, add additional content to supplement the original work, while others to support their argument. This creates a healthy ecosystem of knowledge sharing and crowdsourcing that all the students and teachers benefit from. Peer reviews and expert reviews also form part of the CGScholar environment in an effort to embolden and enrich the entire educational experience. The following analysis were possible through the continuous data collection capabilities of CGScholar that records millions of data points through every online course. Such analytics are also visible to the learners and tutors in the form of an Aster graph (Figure 2)




Figure 2 - Statistical information through an Aster plot




Figure 3 - Comparison between initial and final expert scores

The first analytical exercise was to statistical analyse, employing SPSS software, the results accumulated from two sets of review results submitted by experts at the beginning and at the end of the project, giving us the possibility to investigate any changes. During this period all the students made extensive use of CGScholar and submitted experiential work employing a variety of modalities to express their thoughts respectively. The results, depicted in Figure 3, show that the final assessments clearly follow the initial ones with a percentage increase of approximately 30% on average. What is also evident from this chart is the wider range of distribution of the initial scores, compared to a more compact and cohesive set of values ranging within the final scores. This aligns with the “mastery” notion of Bloom’s, with a wider range of course participants achieving mastery objectives than would be the case if their papers had simply been submitted, without opportunity for peer review and revision. Similar analysis from other courses confirmed these results and motivated us to pursue further investigations.

The second exercise consisted of a thematic analysis methodology, using the nVivo software on the post-course survey results that students were asked to complete. Amongst the survey questions we asked the participants to express their opinion on the multimodal facilities provided within the CGScholar environment. The outcome of this qualitative analysis can be seen in Figure 4 where an overall student satisfaction, focussing on the use of rich modalities, is apparent. The peer feedback itself can be considered a modality in its own right as it allows both the reviewer and reviewee to express and appreciate respectively the thoughts to and from a peer. The feedback of some students speaks for itself as quoted below:

Student 1: “I like the ease of incorporating multimedia as well as peer feedback through additional use of media”

Student 2: “What I like about CGScholar is how it represents a ‘social media’ type platform in which students can make posts and comment on others while scrolling through the update feed.”

Student 3: “I really appreciate that the emphasis was not just on ‘learning’ in the traditional sense, but also being a producer of knowledge. This forced me to new heights.”



## REFERENCES

- [1] B. Cope and M. Kalantzis, "Scholar's New Analytics App: Towards Mastery Learning," *Common Ground*, 2017. [Online]. Available: [https://cgscholar.com/community/community\\_profiles/new-learning/community\\_updates/54189](https://cgscholar.com/community/community_profiles/new-learning/community_updates/54189).
- [2] B. Bloom, "Learning for mastery," *UCLA - CSEIP, Evaluation Comment*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 1-12, 1968.
- [3] M. Kalantzis and B. Cope, *New Learning: Elements of a Science of Education*, Illinois: Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- [4] C.-M. S., "Exploring the effectiveness of an online writing workspace to support literacy in a social studies classroom," *E-Learning and Digital Media*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 407-419, 2013.
- [5] L. W. Anderson, "An Empirical Investigation of Individual Differences in Time to Learn," *Journal of Educational Psychology*, vol. 68, p. 226-233, 1976.
- [6] B. S. Bloom, "Mastery Learning," in *Mastery Learning Theory and Practice*, New York, Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1971, pp. 4-63.
- [7] J. B. Carroll, "A Model of School Learning," *Teacher College Record*, vol. 64, pp. 723-733, 1963.
- [8] R. E. Slavin, "Mastery Learning Reconsidered," *Review of Educational Research*, vol. 57, p. 175-213, 1987.
- [9] R. J. Kibler, D. Cegala, K. Watson, L. Barkel and T. David, *Objectives for Instruction and Evaluation*, Toronto, ON: Allyn and Bacon, 1981.
- [10] S. W. Wachanga and P. Gamba, "Effects of Mastery Learning Approach on Secondary School students' achievement in Chemistry in Nakuru District, Kenya," *Egerton Journal*, vol. 5, no. 2, p. 221-235, 2004.
- [11] I. Ibabe and J. Jauregizar, "Online Self-assessment with Feedback and Metacognitive Knowledge," *Higher Education*, vol. 59, p. 243-258, 2010.
- [12] V. Motamedi and W. Sumrall, "Mastery Learning and Contemporary Issues in Education," *Action in Teacher Education*, vol. 22, no. 1, p. 32-42, 2000.
- [13] D. S. Kreiner, "A Mastery-Based Approach to Teaching Statistics Online," *International Journal of Instructional Media*, vol. 33, no. 1, p. 73-80, 2006.
- [14] H.-T. Lin, E.-F. Liu and S.-M. Yuan, "An Implementation of Web-based Mastery Learning System," *International Journal of Instructional Media*, vol. 35, no. 2, p. 209-220, 2008.
- [15] K. I. Melton, "Using Modified Mastery Assignments to Increase Learning in Business Statistics," *Decision Sciences Journal of Innovative Education*, vol. 6, no. 2, p. 239-245, 2008.
- [16] A. B. Zhang, "The Integration of Mastery Learning in English as a Second Language (ESL) Instruction," *International Journal of Instructional Media*, vol. 37, no. 1, p. 91-102, 2010.
- [17] B. B. Andresen and K. VanDenBrink, "Multimedia in Education," *Educational Media International*, vol. 30, 2013.
- [18] M. Babiker and E. A., "For Effective Use of Multimedia in Education, Teachers Must Develop Their Own Educational Multimedia Applications," *Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*, vol. 14, no. 4, p. 62-68, 2015.
- [19] C. Dwyer, "Multimedia in Education," *Educational Media international*, vol. 30, no. 4, p. 193-198, 1993.
- [20] B. Cope and M. Kalantzis, "Towards a new learning : the Scholar social knowledge workspace, in theory and practice," *E-Learning and Digital Media*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 332-356, 2013.
- [21] B. Cope and M. Kalantzis, *e-Learning Ecologies*, New York, USA: Routledge, 2017.
- [22] B. Cope and M. Kalantzis, "Big data comes to school: Implications for learning, assessment and research," *AERA Open*, vol. 2, no. 2, p. 1-19, 2016.

## AUTHORS

**Matthew Montebello** is an associate professor at the Department of Artificial Intelligence at the Faculty of ICT, University of Malta. He heads the Agent Technology Research Group at departmental level, and coordinates a number of interest groups within the same faculty. Before joining the University in 1999 with a PhD in Computer Science he was already heavily involved in Education in secondary schools after graduating in 1990 at the University of Malta B.Ed.(Hons) degree. Having obtained an extensive teaching experience and having been involved with the introduction of computer labs through the Ministry of Education, he proceeded to enter the Computer Science domain when he pursued his post-graduate studies obtaining a Masters and a Doctorate at the Cardiff University in Wales in 1996 and 1998 respectively. Furthermore in 2009 and 2016 he also completed an M.A. and an Ed.D. (Higher Education) specialising in the application of artificial intelligence to e-learning.

**Bill Cope** is Professor in the Department of Educational Policy Studies at the University of Illinois. He is Principal Investigator in a series of major projects funded by the Institute of Educational Sciences in the US Department of Education, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, and the National Science Foundation, researching and developing multimodal writing and assessment spaces. From 2010-2013 he was Chair of the Journals Publication Committee of the American Educational Research Association. With Mary Kalantzis, he has co-authored or co-edited: *New Learning: Elements of a Science of Education*, Cambridge University Press, 2008 (2nd edition, 2012); *Ubiquitous Learning*, University of Illinois Press, 2009; *Towards a Semantic Web: Connecting Knowledge in Academic Research*, Elsevier, 2009; *Literacies*, Cambridge University Press 2012 (2nd edition, 2016); *A Pedagogy of Multiliteracies*, Palgrave, 2016; and *e-Learning Ecologies*, Routledge, 2017.

**Mary Kalantzis** is a professor in the Department of Educational Policy Studies and from 2006 to 2016, Dean of the College of Education at the University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign. She was formerly Dean of the Faculty of Education, Language and Community Services at RMIT University in Melbourne, Australia, and President of the Australian Council of Deans of Education.

**Samaa Haniya** is a PhD Candidate at the Department of Education Policy, Organization & Leadership at the University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign. Currently, she works as an online teaching and research assistant in the Education Online program in the College of Education at the University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign. Samaa holds a master degree of Curriculum and Instruction from University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign and was awarded the University's Max Beberman academic achievement award in 2008. Prior to joining the doctoral program, Samaa was one of the faculty members in the Humanities Department at

Parkland College in Champaign Illinois. Her primary research interest involves online learning pedagogies, digital humanities, instructional design, educational data mining and program evaluation.

**Naichen Zhao** is currently a Lecturer in the English Faculty of Binhai Foreign Affairs of Tianjin Foreign Studies University in Tianjin, China. She holds a master of arts degree in Chinese-English Translation and Interpreting from the University of Nottingham in the U.K. Research interests include language teaching using e-learning models, and interpreter training.

**Anastasia-Olga Tzirides** is a PhD student in the Department of Education Policy, Organization & Leadership at the University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign. Currently, she works as a teaching assistant in the Modern Greek Studies program at the University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign. Anastasia-Olga holds a master's degree in "Teaching of

Multilingualism and Linguistic Policies: Language and Culture Dissemination in Multilingual Settings" from the Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece and Université du Maine, France and a bachelor's degree in Elementary Education from the Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece. Her primary research interests involve digital learning, online language learning, second/foreign language learning and teaching, multilingual and multicultural education.

**Min Chen** is a lecturer of College of Computer and Information Science, Southwest University in China. She holds a master's degree in Computer Application Technologies from Southwest Normal University. This year she has been awarded a scholarship under the State Scholarship Fund to pursue study as a visiting scholar in the Department of Education Policy, Organization and Leadership at the University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign. Her primary research focuses on digital learning resources, micro-learning and collaborative learning.